

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/826,380	04/19/2004	Klaus Fischer	03P07304	9721
24252	7590 12/15/2005		EXAMINER	
00102.10	SYLVANIA INC TRUONG, BAO Q			, BAO Q
100 ENDICO DANVERS,			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
2,			2875	
			DATE MAILED: 12/15/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·			<u></u>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/826,380	FISCHER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Bao Q. Truong	2875	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 A	A <u>pril 2004</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under the second secon			S
	ex parte Quayre, 1000 O.B. 11, 4	00 0.0. 210.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on 19 April 2004 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	d) .
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receiv nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/826,380

Art Unit: 2875

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Specification

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because "comprising" in line 2 should be changed to -having/including--. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Objections

3. Claims 1-6, 10 and 11 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 1, "it" in line 8 should be changed to what it refers; there is lack of antecedent basis for "the fitting" in lines 10-11.

Claims 2-6 and 11, is "the rotary device" same "the connecting part (s)"? There is lack of antecedent basis for "the rotary device".

Claim 2, there are lack of antecedent basis for "the first part" and "the second part" and "the remaining component".

Claim 3, there is lack of antecedent basis for "the other part of the rotary device".

Claim 6, "the vessel" should be changed to –the at least one vessel-- for consistency.

Claim 10, there are lack of antecedent basis for "the second part of the rotary device" and "the housing".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the remaining parts" in line 6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Therefore, it is not clearly pointed out how a structure, "a connecting part", being connected to a base and "the remaining parts".

Claims 2-11 are necessary included because of their dependency.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

Application/Control Number: 10/826,380

Art Unit: 2875

7. Claims 1-9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sung et al. [US 6,296,375].

Regarding claim 1, Sung et al. discloses an electrical lamp [56] having a vessel [18], a base [12, 16], a connecting part [51] and a remaining part [46] (figures 1-3).

Regarding claim 2, Sung et al. discloses a rotary device [50] having two parts [44, 54], a first part [54] connected to a remaining component [50], and a second part [44] connected to the base [16, 12] (figures 1-4).

Regarding claim 3, Sung et al. discloses the parts [44, 54] having a groove and a tongue (figure 4).

Regarding claim 4, Sung et al. discloses a rotation device [50] being rotatably limited to a maximum of 360 degree (figures 1-4).

Regarding claims 5 and 11, Sung et al. discloses the two parts [44, 54] having stops [inner right angle side of each 44 and 54] (figure 4).

Regarding claim 6, Sung et al. discloses a housing [16, 12] (figure 3).

Regarding claims 7-9, Sung et al. discloses an incandescent lamp/a discharge lamp (figures 1-3).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2875

9. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sung et al. in view of Hutzler et al. [US 6,580,221].

Regarding claim 10, Sung et al. discloses an electrical lamp [56] having a housing [12, 16] (figures 1-3). However, Sung et al. does not disclose the brightness sensor being fitted on the housing.

Hutzler et al. disclsoes an electrical lamp having a brightness sensor [8, 10] being fitted on a housing [4, 12] (figures 1-2, column 4 lines 10-25).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the electrical lamp of Sung et al. with the light sensor as taught by Hutzler et al. to detect ambient light condition for purpose of turning on the electrical lamp automatically.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bao Q. Truong whose telephone number is (571) 272-2383. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00 AM - 4:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra L. O'Shea can be reached on (571) 272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for Application/Control Number: 10/826,380

Art Unit: 2875

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Bao Q. Truong Examiner Art Unit 2875

Page 6

PRIMARY EXAMINER